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### Abbreviation list

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^1$O$_2$</td>
<td>Singlet molecular oxygen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>Ascorbic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOC</td>
<td>Antioxidants capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAGs</td>
<td>Diacylglycerols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>Dehydroascorbic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>Degree of methylation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFAs</td>
<td>Free fatty acids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HG</td>
<td>Homogalacturonan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>Low-density lipoprotein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAGs</td>
<td>Monoacylglycerols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>Phytoene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF</td>
<td>Phytofluene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG</td>
<td>Endopolygalacturonase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Pectinlyase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PME</td>
<td>Pectinmethylesterase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.C.Ja</td>
<td><em>Rosa canina</em> jam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.C.Pr</td>
<td><em>Rosa canina</em> powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.C.Pu</td>
<td><em>Rosa canina</em> puree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.C.R</td>
<td><em>Rosa canina</em> raw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGI</td>
<td>Rhamnogalacturonan type I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGII</td>
<td>Rhamnogalacturonan type II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNS</td>
<td>Reactive nitrogen species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROO'</td>
<td>Peroxy radicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROS</td>
<td>Reactive oxygen species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAGs</td>
<td>Triacylglycerols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP</td>
<td>Total phenolic compounds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Register of figures and tables
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**Table 1:** Antioxidant capacity, total phenolic contents, and ascorbic acid in *R. rugosa* and *R. canina* as well as canina in products
1 Introduction

1.1 Rosehips

Family: Rosaceae
Genus: Rosa
Section used in the present thesis work: rugosa Thunb. and canina

The genus Rosa contains over 100 species that are widely distributed mostly in Europe, Asia, the Middle East and North America, these species differ in their appearance and chemical composition (Nilsson, 1997). Rosa rugosa Thunb. and Rosa canina L. are the most important ones in the food industry in Europe. Rugosa hips resemble tomatoes with a 2-3 cm diameter and often a shorter height than their diameter, whereas canina hips are smaller and the mature hips are oval with a diameter of 1.5-2 cm (Al-Yafeai et al., 2018; Andersson et al., 2011 & Henke, 2000). Rosehips have a rather long ripening period and usually stay attached on the bushes long after the first night frost, harvesting only once per year during autumn. In late summer and early autumn, the plants often bear fruits and flowers at the same time.

During ripening, the hips start to change colour at sunny side from green via yellow-orange to dark red and sometimes even black, depending on the pattern of pigments such as carotenoids, flavonoids, or anthocyanins. In recent years, there has been a general increase in the interest in using plants as an alternative source of raw materials for pharmaceutical, food and cosmetic industries. Numerous studies confirm that many plant components are - in addition to be nutritionally valuable - also important in the prevention of civilization diseases (Youdim et al., 2000; Zafra-Stone et al., 2007). A number of epidemiological studies have shown that a high intake of fruit and vegetables is correlated with positive effects on human health, and decreases
the incidence of diseases such as cardiovascular diseases, cataracts and different cancers and other chronic diseases (Lampe, 1999; Gandini et al., 2000; Liu et al., 2000; Joshipura et al., 2001 & Liu et al., 2001). For this reason, the food industry now focuses on developing new products with potential impact on public health and the nutritional status of the population.

Recently, rosehips have been increasingly studied for their preventive properties. However, the available data advocating the medicinal importance of rosehip formulations are sparse and disorganized (Seema, 2012). Although R. rugosa is renowned for producing the most abundant and best tasting hips, most food products are based on the hips of R. canina although they are small compared with the rugosa hips (Barros et al., 2011). Several rosehip extracts have been released to the market as nutritive aids, health supplements, cosmetics and herbal remedies for the treatment of diseases, including cold, inflammation, osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis and chronic pain in China (Guo et al., 2011). Moreover, some rosehips are used to prepare ‘Nyponsoppa’, the traditional Swedish fruit soup. R. eglanteria hips infusion is popular in Europe as herbal tea. In the Tokat region of Anatolia, Turkey, rosehips are consumed as jam and fruit juice (Güne, 2010).

Later, cosmetology research has proven the effect of rosehips oil in lowering skin pigmentation, reducing scars and stretches, acne management, rehydrating skin and rendering it supple and delaying wrinkling. Even the skin specialists are recommending the use of rosehips oil as skin vitalizing agent. Daels-Rakotoarison et al. (2002) & Halvorsen et al. (2002) reported that the antioxidant activity of rosehips extracts was higher compared with other fruit and berries. Several in vivo studies in animals revealed that rosehips extracts have a strong effect on body fat, plasma and biliary lipids (Gonzales et al., 1997 & Ninomiya et al., 2007). In the same vein, the
investigations on anti-inflammatory activity have shown that lipophilic extracts of rosehips have a higher activity than hydrophilic extracts (Deliorman et al., 2007 & Wenzig et al., 2007).

Although clinical studies to evaluate the effects of rosehips on humans suffering from osteoarthritis, rheumatoid and arthritis have shown positive results, studies are not yet adequate and need further proof (Christensen et al., 2007; Rossnagel et al., 2007 & Chrubasik et al., 2008). In addition, rosehips extracts have anti-microbial effects on different bacteria (Kumarasamy et al., 2002), anti-mutagenic effects on Salmonella typhimurium and anti-cancerogenic effects in different in vitro studies on cancer cells (Karakaya & Kavas 1999 & Olsson et al., 2004). In the last few years, much more information on rosehips has become available, the physiological functions of rosehip fruits may be partly attributed to their abundance of bioactive compounds. Previous studies reported that rosehips contained higher amounts of various bioactive compounds than several other fruits and berries such as: flavonoids, tannins, carotenoids, phytoene, fatty acids and vitamins (particularly vitamins C, E and provitamin A) (Böhm et al., 2003 & Al-Yafeai et al., 2018). Furthermore, rose hips extract contained high contents of pectin (Al-Yafeai & Böhm 2018).

1.2 Carotenoids

Carotenoid pigments, responsible for the colour of a wide variety of foods, are mainly C40 lipophilic isoprenoids having a polyene skeleton, consisting of a long conjugated double-bond system. The basic skeleton may be modified in many ways, including cyclization, hydrogenation, dehydrogenation, introduction of oxygen functions, rearrangement, chain shortening, or combinations thereof, resulting in a multitude of carotenoids structures. Almost all carotenoids absorb light in the 400-500
nm range (Britton et al., 1995 & 2004). As the number of conjugated double bonds increases, the absorbance wavelength of the chromophore also increases, therefore, its colour moves to longer wavelengths (bathochromic shift).

Carotenoids are synthesized in all photosynthetic organisms (bacteria, algae and plants) as well as in some nonphotosynthetic bacteria and fungi. Although carotenoids are necessary to maintain normal health and behavior of animals, carotenoids are not synthesized by animals and so those found in animals are directly accumulated from food (Agarwal et al., 2012). Despite approximately 750 carotenoids have been reported (Britton et al., 2004), only those with an unsubstituted β-ring with an 11-carbon polyene chain have provitamin A activity. This structural requirement is satisfied by around 60 carotenoids, which are subsequently transformed into vitamin A (Rodriguez-Amaya, 2001). Free and esterified carotenoid compounds can be found in the natural world and the free carotenoids can be divided into hydrocarbon carotenoids (known as carotenes), examples of the carotenes are α-, β-, γ-, ζ-carotene and lycopene. Oxygenated derivatives are called xanthophylls, which are esterified with fatty acids in matured fruits and vegetables, generating xanthophyll esters. Common oxygen substituents are the hydroxy (as in β-cryptoxanthin), keto (as in canthaxanthin), epoxy (as in violaxanthin) and aldehyde (as in β-citraurin) groups. Carotenoids can be acyclic (e.g., lycopene), monocyclic (e.g., γ-carotene) or dicyclic (e.g., α- and β-carotene) (Britton et al., 2004 & Krinsky & Johnson, 2005).

In nature, carotenoids mostly occur as (all-E)-isomers in plants. However, contents of (Z)-isomers may increase due to the isomerization of the (all-E)-isomers of carotenoids during food processing. Carotenoids are very unstable because they are highly unsaturated, being easily deteriorated by oxidative processes. Heat, light, drying
and structural differences are the prominent factors that affect the isomerisation of carotenoids in foods (Schieber & Carle, 2005).

### 1.2.1 Biological activity of carotenoids

Carotenoids have been important in some diseases treatment and prevention, with antitumor properties and protection against free radicals, lipid peroxidation, oxidative damage to LDL-cholesterol, oxidation of essential polyunsaturated fatty acids and the UV light protection effects on cell membranes and tissues (Hu et al., 2006). Moreover, xanthophylls showed antioxidant power 10 times greater than β-carotene and 500 times higher than vitamin E (Lopez et al., 2004).

The recent interest in specific carotenoids for different health-food purposes implicate that an increased knowledge of specific carotenoids is of high importance for health issues e.g. lycopene, for which rose hips have been proposed as a source of raw material (Böhm et al., 2003). Many of the carotenoids found in rosehips, such as zeaxanthin, lutein, lycopene and β-carotene, have been shown to have health beneficial effects (Bohn, 2008 & Andersson et al., 2011). Clinical and epidemiological studies have confirmed that diets rich in lycopene are associated with reduced risk of developing prostate, lung and ovary cancers and a lower incidence of chronic degenerative diseases and cardiovascular diseases (Rao, 2002 & Cramer et al., 2001). Lutein and zeaxanthin are stored in our body in the retina and lens of eyes (Thane & Reddy 1997).

The major provitamin A carotenoid in the Western diet is (β)-carotene but also (α)-carotene, (β)- and (α)-cryptoxanthin contribute to vitamin A supply and may prevent vitamin A deficiency. Vitamin A is an essential nutrient that maintains human health by controlling vision, immune system, reproduction, development and cell
growth (D’Ambrosio et al., 2011). During embryonic development, vitamin A is vital as both vitamin A excess and deficiency cause embryonic defects (Abu-Abed et al., 2001). A mammalian embryonic development relies on the maternal circulating retinoids and the essential nutrient vitamin A is obtained only from the diet, maternal vitamin A intake and status directly affect fetal development. β-Carotene is currently used as dietary supplement due to its health-promoting effects (Bohn, 2008 & Andersson et al., 2011).

1.2.2 Carotenoids as antioxidants

The antioxidant properties of carotenoids are associated with their radical scavenging properties against two reactive oxygen species (ROS): singlet molecular oxygen (\(1^1\)O\(_2\)) and peroxyl radicals (ROO\(^\cdot\)) (Everett et al., 1996). Furthermore, carotenoids are effective deactivators of electronically excited sensitizer molecules which are involved in the generation of radicals and singlet oxygen (Young & Lowe, 2001). Quenching constants for \(1^1\)O\(_2\) have been determined for a range of carotenoids in solution and reveal a clear relationship between structure of the carotenoid and the ability to quench this particular ROS by energy transfer (Baltschun et al., 1997). Carotenoids may interact with free radicals in three main ways, namely electron-transfer (ET) (Eq. [1]), hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) (Eq. [2]) and addition of a radical species (Eq. [3]) (Britton et al., 1995).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ROO}^\cdot + \text{CAR} & \rightarrow \text{ROO} + \text{CAR}^\cdot & [1] \\
\text{ROO}^\cdot + \text{CAR} & \rightarrow \text{ROOH} + \text{CAR}^\cdot & [2] \\
\text{ROO}^\cdot + \text{CAR} & \rightarrow (\text{ROO-CAR})^\cdot & [3]
\end{align*}
\]
The interaction of carotenoids with $^1$O$_2$ depends largely on physical quenching which involves direct energy transfer between both molecules. The energy of singlet molecular oxygen is transferred to the carotenoid molecule to yield ground state oxygen and a triplet excited carotene. Instead of further chemical reactions, the carotenoid returns to ground state, dissipating its energy by interaction with the surrounding solvent. In contrast to physical quenching, chemical reactions between the excited oxygen and carotenoids are of minor importance, contributing less than 0.05% to the total quenching rate. Since the carotenoids remain intact during physical quenching of $^1$O$_2$ or excited sensitizers, they can be reused several fold in such quenching cycles. The efficacy of carotenoids for physical quenching is related to the number of conjugated double bonds present in the molecule, which determines their lowest triplet energy level. The most efficient carotenoid is the acyclic carotenoid lycopene, which contributes up to 30% to total carotenoids in humans (Baltschun et al., 1997).

Beside singlet oxygen, peroxyl radicals are generated in the process of lipid peroxidation, and scavenging of this species interrupts the reaction sequence which finally leads to damage in lipophilic compartments. Carotenoids, as highly lipophilic molecules, are expected to be particularly effective scavengers of ROS within the hydrophobic portions of cell membranes and lipoproteins, their major transporters, reducing the possibility of oxidation of membrane structures and the overall risk of morbidity (Agarwal et al., 2012).

Other colourless carotenoids being expected to find in rosehips are phytoene (PE) and phytofluene (PF). PE and PF are the common carotenoid precursors to the downstream carotenoid products found in plants. Therefore, PE and PF are conceivably present to some degree in a large array of carotenoid-containing foods (Britton et al., 1995).
1.3 Vitamin E

Vitamin E (C_{29}H_{50}O_{2}) is a lipophilic antioxidant, the term vitamin E covers eight fat-soluble compounds (α-, β-, γ-, δ-tocopherol and -tocotrienol). The basic structure of vitamin E comprises a hydrophobic polyprenyl side chain with a polar chromanol ring. Tocochromanols with a fully saturated side chain are called tocopherols and those with an unsaturated at positions 3’, 7’ and 11’ of the side chain side are tocotrienols. The specific tocopherols and tocotrienols differ by number and positions of the methyl groups in the 6-chromanol ring, resulting in the α-, β-, γ- and δ-isomers (Munné-Bosch & Alegre 2002).

Tocopherols occur in mammalians, photosynthetic bacteria, fungi, algae and plants, but only photosynthetic organisms are able to synthesize them. The biosynthesis is initiated in the plant’s cytoplasm, but, except for this first step, its biosynthesis takes place in the plastids (Grusak & Dellapenna 1999 & Munné-Bosch & Alegre 2002). The content, composition and presence of tocopherols vary widely in different plant tissues. They can be found in seeds, fruits, roots and tubers and are usually present in the green parts of higher plants (Mene-Saffrane & Dellapenn 2010 Horvath et al., 2006).

Vitamin E was first discovered as a necessary nutritional factor in pregnant rats for foetus viability (Evans & Bishop 1922). Despite vitamin E’s importance in the human diet, dietary studies showed that the recommended daily allowance is often not met, so, improving its quantity and composition has become a target in crop breeding (Péter et al., 2016). In general, vitamin E is found in high concentrations in vegetable oils such as almond, safflower or canola oil or in other high-fat sources such as nuts, seeds or grains (Dellapenna, 2005). Food-grade canola oil, or 00-type rapeseed oil, has a high-quality nutritional composition similar to that of olive oil, and tocopherols are one of the main nutritionally relevant constituents (Péter et al., 2016).
1.3.1 Health effects of vitamin E

α-Tocopherol is the most common form of vitamin E in human tissues and has long been considered as a protective factor, preventing inflammatory and degenerative processes in the liver during the exposure to a range of xenobiotics, environmental pollutants and dietary factors (Aboul-Soud et al., 2011). Furthermore, vitamin E is necessary in animal cells for different antioxidant functions, especially in cell membranes and plasma lipoproteins. It participates in preventing the proliferation of oxidative chain reactions, and it plays possible roles in atherosclerosis and cancer prevention (Lopaczynski & Zeisel 2001 & Bender & Mayes 2003). A number of epidemiological studies have shown that a high intake of vitamin E is correlated with positive effects on human health, and decreases the incidence of diseases such as cardiovascular diseases and different types of cancers (Albanes et al., 1995) as well as Alzheimer’s disease (Morris et al., 2005).

1.3.2 Vitamin E as antioxidant

Vitamin E (α-tocopherol) is an efficient lipid soluble antioxidant that functions as a ‘chain breaker’ during lipid peroxidation in cell membranes and various lipid particles, including low-density lipoprotein (LDL). It functions to intercept lipid peroxyl radicals (LOO•) and to terminate the lipid peroxidation chain reactions. It interacts with polyunsaturated acyl groups and protects polyunsaturated fatty acids from lipid peroxidation by scavenging lipid peroxy radicals and quenching ROS produced e.g., by photosystem II and during membrane lipid peroxidation (Brigelius-Flohe & Traber 1999 & Krieger-Liszkay et al., 2008). During this process, tocopherols donate their phenolic hydrogen to lipid-free radicals, thus neutralizing the radical, terminating the autocatalytic lipid peroxidation processes and protecting cell
membranes. The resultant tocopheroxyl radical is relatively stable and under normal circumstances insufficiently reactive to initiate lipid peroxidation itself, which is an essential criterion of a good antioxidant (Kamal-Eldin & Appelqvist 1996 & Liebler, 1993).

\[ \text{LOO}^* + \alpha\text{-tocopherol–OH} / \text{LOOH} + \alpha\text{-tocopherol–O}^* \]

Furthermore, tocopherols are able to deactivate \(^1\text{O}_2\), which oxidizes, amongst others, membrane lipids, proteins, amino acids, nucleic acids, nucleotides and carbohydrates (Halliwell & Gutteridge 2015 & Straight & Spikes 1985). The chemical reaction of tocopherols with \(^1\text{O}_2\) results in the corresponding tocopherol quinones (and other derivatives), some of which have been shown to be potent antioxidants (Lass & Sohal 1998 & Siegel et al., 1997).

**1.4 Vitamin C (Ascorbic acid)**

Vitamin C (Ascorbic acid, AA) is the most powerful water-soluble antioxidant in human blood plasma and acts as a regenerator for vitamin E in lipid systems. AA is an odorless, white solid, having the chemical formula \(C_6H_8O_6\) (Groff et al., 1995), being ascorbic acid and its first oxidation product, dehydroascorbic acid (DHA) (Gokmen et al., 2000). Therefore, the total vitamin C contents in fruit are the sum of these two biologically active compounds, AA and DHA (Nováková et al., 2008; Valente et al., 2014). Most physiological roles of AA are linked to its capability to be a reducing agent in a biochemical reaction (Tsanklidis et al., 2014). AA significantly decreases the adverse effects of the ROS and RNS which cause oxidative damage to lipids, DNA and proteins (Padayatty et al., 2003).

In addition to the antioxidant properties of AA, it also has major roles in hormone signaling and plant development, cell cycle, cell expansion, as a part of the
Introduction

cellular redox system and as a cofactor for several important enzymes (Davey et al., 2000 & Smirnoff et al., 2001). An enhanced fruit AA pool has also been suggested to be associated with improved postharvest fruit quality in hard fruit species, such as pear and apple (Franck et al., 2003 & Davey et al., 2007). AA contributing to the antioxidant capacity of plant tissues, especially during different stressful environments through detoxify ROS and free radicals such as $^1O_2$, hydroxyl radical (OH$^-$), superoxide (O$_2^-$) and hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$), produced during metabolic processes of photosynthesis (Smirnoff, 2011).

Most plants and animals have the ability to synthesize vitamin C, only mammals including humans are unable to synthesize vitamin C. Therefore humans depend on exogenous sources of vitamin C including vegetables, fruits as well as food supplements and pharmaceutical preparations (Okiei et al., 2009). One of the most important features in rosehips is the high content of vitamin C. (Erenturk et al., 2005). Generally, rosehips are considered to be the most abundant natural source of vitamin C, the contents ranged between 200 and 2800 mg/100 g (Uggla et al., 2003). Thus, the medicinal value of rosehips depends largely on the vitamin C contents value of rosehips. Moreover, vitamin C terminates the lipid peroxidation chain reaction by donating an electron to the lipid radical and rapidly changes to the ascorbate radical.

1.5 Bioaccessibility and Bioavailability

As the potential health benefits of bioactive compounds such as carotenoids and vitamins become more and more clear, there has been a growing interest in determination of bioaccessibility and bioavailability of these compounds from plant foods. Bioaccessibility is defined as the fraction of a compound that releases from its matrix in the gastrointestinal tract and thus becomes available for intestinal absorption.
(Reboul et al., 2006). On the other hand, the term “bioavailability” is usually defined as the fraction of a given compound or its metabolite that reaches the systemic circulation (Holst & Williamson 2008).

However, human intervention studies to assess intestinal absorption are expensive, often invasive and of long duration. Static in vitro models based on human physiology were developed as simple, inexpensive and reproducible tools to predict the bioaccessibility of different food components, allowing large numbers of samples to be tested. Although one of the most relevant factors limiting the bioaccessibility of carotenoids at the pre-absorptive stages is the food matrix, Minekus et al., (2014) aimed to find a standardized processing for an in vitro digestion model.

Simulated digestion methods typically include the oral, gastric and small intestinal phases, and occasionally large intestinal fermentation. These methods try to mimic physiological conditions in vivo, taking into account the presence of digestive enzymes and their concentrations, pH, digestion time and salt concentrations, among other factors. In recent years, there has been an increasing amount of literature on the effects of carotenoids and vitamin E from different natural sources on health.

Knowledge of lipid digestion is important for understanding of absorption of lipophilic food ingredients. Dietary lipids enhanced secretion of bile salts and led to a stimulation of the activity of pancreatic lipase, which in turn increased micellarization capacity (Furr & Clark 1997). Pancreatic lipase facilitated the transfer of carotenoids from emulsified lipid droplets toward mixed micelles (Borel et al., 1998). Therefore, lipid digestion can be divided into two steps: (i) the hydrolysis and (ii) the micellarization. Hydrolysis of dietary triacylglycerols (TAGs) into diacylglycerols (DAGs), monoacylglycerols (MAGs) and free fatty acids (FFAs) is essential for their absorption by enterocytes and their incorporation into micelles (McClements & Decker
The micellarization is a process to form molecular aggregates of 3-10 nm (micelles) through the action of bile salts on lipid particles (Johnson & Gerwin 2001). The micelles are composed of MAGs and FFAs, bile salts, phospholipids and lipid-soluble compounds (Yonekur & Nagao 2007).

1.5.1 Pectin and Bioaccessibility

Although soluble fiber consumption has widely recognized health benefits, the impact of pectin and other dietary fibers on the bioavailability and metabolism of other nutrients, including lipids, is still under investigation. Some in vitro studies showed for pectin a potential to impact lipid digestion processes (Zhang et al., 2015) which can affect the carotenoid’s bioaccessibility. Pectic polysaccharides, generally referred to as “pectin,” are one of the most structurally complex and fascinating naturally occurring plant macromolecules.

The molecular structure of both extracted and plant-located pectin is very diverse, varying with the physiological state of the plant and even between tissues in the plant (Bagherian et al., 2011, Christiaens et al., 2011 & Guo et al., 2014). The backbone of pectin is composed of segments of homogalacturonan (HG) and rhamnogalacturonan type I (RGI) and II (RGII) (Sila et al., 2009), which are esterified with a varying degree of methylation (DM) (Wicker et al., 2014). HG is the most abundant pectic polysaccharide accounting for about 65% of the total pectin content of plant tissues (Mohnen, 2008). This linear structural element of pectin is a homopolymer of up to 200 units of α (1→4)-linked D-galacturonic acid (GalA) (Thibault et al., 1993 & Zhan et al., 1998). RGI, representing approximately 20% to 35% of pectin (Albersheim et al., 1996), RGII, making up approximately 10% of pectin, is the most conserved and complex structural element of pectin. On the other hand, Fructozym P6-
XL is a liquid, highly concentrated pectolytic enzyme mixture containing pectinmethylesterase (PME), pectinlyase (PL) and endopolygalacturonase (PG), and it is used to complete pectin degradation in juice for a good clarification and filterability.
2 Objectives

Although rosehips have more recently attracted attention because of their potential health benefits, there is little information about the change of antioxidants contents in different rosehip products as well as depending on the degree of ripeness, especially in rugosa hips. Furthermore, there is no study having investigated the bioaccessibility of carotenoids and vitamin E from rosehip raw materials as well as its products.

Hence, the following specific aims can be formulated for the present thesis:

Objective 1: The main objective of this doctoral thesis was to draw attention to *R. rugosa*. To this end, identification and quantification of carotenoids, vitamin E and vitamin C contents, as well as evaluation of antioxidants capacity in different products of canina hips and at different ripening times in rugosa hips was carried out.

Objective 2: Determination of the bioaccessibility of carotenoids and vitamin E in rosehips (*R. rugosa* and *R. canina*), canina products (powder, jam, and puree) as well as tomato paste.

Investigation of the effects of pectin, food processing and carotenoids properties on the bioaccessibility in selected samples of both rosehips and tomato paste.
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ABSTRACT: The hips of Rosa species have gained more attention in recent years due to their high contents of antioxidant compounds. This study was designed to compare rosehips of the two roses species *Rosa rugosa* and *Rosa canina*, including different products, on carotenoid contents, including phytoene and phytofluene, as well as vitamin E. The investigation allowed the identification and quantification of types of (Z)-isomers of lycopene and rubixanthin in both rosehips and focused also on isomerisation of both carotenoids. The carotenoid identification and quantification were done using HPLC-DAD and LC-MS/MS. The statistical analysis revealed significant differences (P < 0.05) in carotenoid contents and (P < 0.001) in vitamin E contents between different rosehips species. The HPLC analysis showed that carotenoid contents varied between rosehips species. The isomerization of (all-E)-rubixanthin and (all-E)-lycopene using iodine-catalysed photoisomerisation showed that the (5′Z)-isomer gazanixanthin is the main (Z)-isomer of rubixanthin and the (13Z)-isomer is the main (Z)-isomer of lycopene.
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ABSTRACT: Limited bioavailability of antioxidants present in food from fruits and vegetables matrices is determined by their low bioaccessibility due to the physical and chemical interactions of the antioxidants with the indigestible polysaccharides of cell walls. Therefore, this in vitro investigation aimed to assess the bioaccessibility of carotenoids and vitamin E from rosehips as well as from tomato paste and to investigate several aspects of effects of pectin contents and food processing on bioaccessibility. Following the addition of the enzyme mixture Fructozym P6-XL, the bioaccessibility of carotenoids from rosehips as well as from tomato paste significantly increased. The average relative increase in bioaccessibility from rosehips was lower for (all-\(E\))-β-carotene compared with (all-\(E\))-lycopene and (all-\(E\))-rubixanthin. In contrast, increases of bioaccessibility of α-tocopherol were comparable for rosehip samples and tomato paste.
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*Volker Böhm:*

Correction of the manuscript
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ABSTRACT: Maturity stage affects the bioactive compounds as well as the antioxidant capacity in the fruit. This study was designed to identify and quantify carotenoids contents as well as to evaluate vitamin E, vitamin C, antioxidant capacity and total phenolic compounds of R. rugosa hips at different degrees of ripeness. HPLC analysis showed different types of carotenoids at different stages of maturity of rugosa hips with significant differences (P < 0.05), where the maximum concentration was observed at late harvesting. In rugosa hips investigated, only α-tocopherol was detected, the maximum concentration of both vitamin E and vitamin C was obtained in the orange hips with significant difference (P < 0.05). On the other hand, the highest hydrophilic and lipophilic TEAC values, as well as total phenolic contents, were determined in the mature hips (red colour) with significant difference (P < 0.0001) and (P < 0.001) respectively, whereas ORAC showed lower activity in the mature hips with significant difference (P < 0.05). Late harvesting is recommended if a high content of carotenoids is desired, while harvesting should be carried out earlier if a higher vitamin E and vitamin C content is desired, which in turn affects the antioxidants capacity.
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4 Discussion

4.1 Identification and quantification of bioactive compounds in rosehips

As mentioned in the literature review, rosehips are a good source for meeting the increasing demand for natural raw materials in food and health-related products. Thus, depending on the product, the differences between species as well as during ripening of the rosehips must be considered. Due to the fact that the majority of the rose hips used for commercial products are harvested from wild populations of plants, specific quality aspects such as content of natural vitamins and antioxidants are difficult to maintain in the raw material. Although rosehips have more recently attracted attention because of their potential health benefits, there is little information about the changes of antioxidants properties in different rosehip products as well as at the different ripening times, especially in R. rugosa.

Our research was aimed to investigate authenticity of raw material used in rosehip products and also draw attention to R. rugosa because most products are based on the hips of R. canina, although they are small compared with the rugosa hips. Furthermore, studies of ripening times are of special interest because they allow the identification of the optimum point of maturity for harvesting which helps to improve levels of bioactive compounds. Although the damage and loss of free carotenoids during the saponification procedure could not be completely avoided, the saponified extracts were used for identification and quantification of carotenoids, because of the absence of esterified xanthophyll standards. The carotenoid pigments in rugosa hips at different ripening times and canina hips as well as canina products have been characterized and identified according to their chemical properties and
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chromatographic and spectroscopic characteristics (UV-vis and MS). Due to the polarity, esterified carotenoids appeared latest in the chromatogram, by de-esterification identification was possible as the xanthophylls appeared in the beginning of the chromatogram. Reversed phase HPLC chromatograms corresponding to the saponified extracts of raw materials of rosehips of *R. rugosa* and *R. canina* were almost comparable.

Our findings revealed that carotenoids in *R. rugosa* and *R. canina* rosehip extracts are (*all*-E)- and (*Z*)-β-carotene, (*all*-E)-α- and (*all*-E)-β-cryptoxanthin, (*all*-E)-lutein, (*all*-E)- and (*Z*)-lycopene, (*all*-E)- and (*Z*)-rubixanthin, (*all*-E)-violaxanthin and (*all*-E)-zeaxanthin, being almost comparable with results of (Razungles *et al.*, 1989 & Andersson *et al.*, 2011). The HPLC analysis showed variations in carotenoid contents with significant differences (P < 0.05) between these two species of rosehips, in different products as well as at different ripening degrees of *R. rugosa*. The variations in carotenoid contents have been influenced by ecotype and growing conditions, and in products were affected by type of processing. The low carotenoid contents in canina puree may vary under conditions of humidity and temperature during the processing. Whereas higher carotenoid contents in canina powder could be due to the higher dry matter. Andersson *et al.* (2011) found a straight correlation between weather and carotenoid contents in rosehips.

Therefore, for practical implications of the quality of the raw material, it is important to harvest at proper time. To obtain high amounts of most carotenoids in rosehips, harvesting should be carried out after periods of warm and sunny weather. In this study, the carotenoid contents varied during the maturity of rugosa hips, the high concentration was achieved at mature stage (red colour) due to the accumulations of (*all*-E)-β-carotene, (*all*-E)-rubixanthin and (*all*-E)- and (*Z*)-lycopene. The present
findings seem to be consistent with Fraser et al. (1994) who showed that the concentration of carotenoids increased in tomato during ripening between 10- and 14-fold mainly due to the accumulation of lycopene. The total carotenoid contents were lowest in the immature stage and showed a pattern of continually increasing accumulation until the final stage of maturity, as was observed for lycopene. This is likely a result of the higher contribution of lycopene to total carotenoids, lycopene is the major carotenoid in mature rosehips (Böhm et al., 2003 & Al-Yafeai et al., 2018).

In the same vein, Staffan et al. (2008) have reported that the total content of carotenoids increased more than 10-fold during the eight weeks of ripening of R. spinosissima, whereas in other rose hip species the contents of carotenoids increased 1.3-2.6 times during the five-week study period. Interestingly, in rugosa hips a significant increase in the total carotenoid contents (1.0-fold) was observed at orange colour, whereas 1.5-fold was observed at mature stage.

Phytoene serves as a precursor of lycopene from which several other carotenoid compounds are synthesized (Li & Yuan, 2013). In accordance with these explanations, the present study has shown a significant decrease in the phytoene contents within the maturity process. Even though, xanthophylls such as violaxanthin, lutein, zeaxanthin and rubixanthin and carotenones such as β-carotene and lycopene were found at all stages of maturation, concentrations tended to change at different ripening stages. Likewise, (all-E)-β-carotene was intensively increased in the orange-red stages of maturity. In accordance with the present results, previous studies have demonstrated higher (all-E)-β-carotene content in the red stage in all commercial cultivars of tomatoes (Kotikova et al., 2011).

Such differences in the accumulation of (all-E)-β-carotene within ripening stages were probably due to the different functions. In immature fruits, (all-E)-β-
carotene serves a primary function and is involved in the process of photosynthesis as a photoprotective antioxidant contained in the cores of both photosystems (Cazzaniga et al., 2016). Moreover, (all-E)-β-carotene may assume a secondary function and, along with lycopene, contributes to fruit colour (Kotikova et al., 2011).

For more special identification and quantification, (all-E)- and (Z)-lycopene occurring in rosehips species as well as at different ripening times have been characterized and identified in both unsaponified and saponified extracts by using an isocratic C30-HPLC method, based on comparison with reference compounds (all-E)- and (Z)-lycopene at 470 nm. Several peaks were subsequently identified as certain geometrical isomers according to the visible spectral data and retention times in HPLC. Corresponding to the maxima absorbance; a hypochromic shifts was observed (at most a 7 nm shift for λ2). The ultraviolet spectrum of (Z)-lycopene moves 5~10 nm towards the shorter wavelengths. Based on comparison with reference compounds the peaks were identified as (13Z)-, (9Z)-, (all-E)- and (5Z)-lycopene respectively (Al-Yafeai et al., 2018), where (5Z, 5′Z)-lycopene was previously described (Honda et al., 2015). These findings are in agreement with Zhong et al. (2016) who referred that rosehips of R. rugosa contained three types of (Z)-lycopene. Statistical analysis revealed significant differences (P < 0.05) in lycopene contents in both rosehips species as well as during rugosa hips ripening times.

Our study provides further evidence for the effect of processing on the relative lycopene contents in each canina product, a decrease in the relative content of (all-E)-lycopene was noted to 62% and 66% in canina powder and puree, respectively, compared with the relative content of (all-E)-lycopene in raw canina rosehips (69%). The decrease in relative (all-E)-lycopene contents is offset by an increase in relative contents of (Z)-lycopene isomers in canina products (Al-Yafeai et al., 2018). The
increasing of relative contents of (Z)-isomers of lycopene during food processing was previously investigated by Honda et al. (2017). On the other hand, (all-E)-lycopene contents showed an increasing pattern of accumulation based on total carotenoids during the ripening. A possible explanation for this might be the transition of chloroplasts into chromoplasts. In contrast, (Z)-lycopene showed the opposite trend of accumulation compared to (all-E)-lycopene, such that its concentration decreased based on total carotenoids in fruits across the ripening stages.

(5′Z)-Rubixanthin (gazaniaxanthin) (Figure 1) has been described as the main (Z)-isomer of rubixanthin as well as one of the important features that characterize R. rugosa compared to R. canina (Al-Yafeai et al., 2018). Gazaniaxanthin was first isolated by Schön, (1938) from flowers of Gazania rigens, where it occurred together with rubixanthin. The HPLC results obtained from the isomerization of (all-E)-rubixanthin in CDCl₃ by using sun light showed the spectra with maximum absorbance at 463.3 with retention times at 34.35 min. The UV spectrum is characterized by the appearance of a new maximum around 330-350 nm (cis-peak), ultraviolet spectrum moved 5-10 nm towards the shorter wavelengths and this finding is comparable to recent results (Honda et al., 2015 & Huawei et al., 2014).

![Figure 1. Structure of (5′Z)-rubixanthin.](image)

The NMR data suggest that exposure of (all-E)-rubixanthin to sunlight result in an isomerisation of the very last double bond of the conjugated double bond system in the aliphatic chain of rubixanthin, which forms (5′Z)-rubixanthin. The ¹H-spectra of
(all-E)-rubixanthin after exposition to sunlight exhibited two new signals that appeared in the aliphatic region. Since the multiple signals at 5.13 ppm belong to the terminal CH proton of (all-E)-rubixanthin, we speculated that the new signal at 5.17 ppm is indicative for the rearrangement of the 5′ double bond from E to Z configuration. Based on selective COSY and TOCSY spectra (Figure 2) that probe for neighbouring protons inside the same spin system, the second new signal of the light-induced isomer could be assigned to the CH₂ group terminal to the 5′ double bond. In (all-E)-rubixanthin, both CH₂ groups between the 5′ double bond and the CH group have similar chemical/electronic environment, resulting in a common signal at 2.14 ppm with an integral of 4. Upon light-induced isomerisation, the signal of the CH₂ group adjacent to the 5′ double bond slightly shifted downfield to 2.24 ppm. This shift can be attributed to the emerged spatial proximity of a CH₃ group upon isomerisation of rubixanthin. These findings are consistent with those of Schön (1938) and Arpin & Liannen-Jensen (1968) who suggested that gazaniaxanthin is the corresponding 5′-cis isomer of (all-E)-rubixanthin.
**Figure 2**: Comparison of 1D COSY and 1D TOCSY spectra selectively exciting the terminal CH group of the light-induced rubixanthin isomer, selective excitation is marked by a red flash. The two new signals in the aliphatic region of the proton spectra, belonging to the light-induced isomer, are highlighted with yellow boxes. The terminal region of the rubixanthin structure is given at the top, the (5’Z) double bond is marked in pink.
4.2 Identification and quantification of vitamin E

The total amounts of tocopherols were not exceptionally high in the present study; \( \alpha \)- and \( \gamma \)-tocopherol were the main isomers in canina raw material as well as in canina products, being comparable with Andersson et al. (2012). In contrast, \( \alpha \)-tocopherol was the main isomer of tocopherol in rugosa hips. This finding supports our previous research (Al-Yafeai et al., 2018). Statistical analysis revealed significant differences (\( P < 0.001 \)) in vitamin E contents in both rosehips species as well as in canina products.

On the other hand, \( \alpha \)-tocopherol contents are likely to vary during the ripening period; the maximum concentration was achieved in the orange hips with significant difference (\( P < 0.05 \)). The present findings seem to be consistent with Andersson et al. (2012) who found that the amounts of total tocopherols and vitamin E activity decreased in rose hips during ripening, but the change was relatively small and limited. Collakova & Dellapenna (2003) have reported that only \( \alpha \)- and \( \gamma \)-tocopherol were found in the fleshy parts of the rosehips. The tocopherols are suggested to be synthesized by the action of \( \gamma \)-tocopherol methyl-transferase to either \( \gamma \)- or \( \delta \)-tocopherol, which can be further synthesised to \( \alpha \)- and \( \beta \)-tocopherol, respectively. The tocopherol concentration in rosehips is related to the presence of oil (different fatty acids) (Asplund, 2002), which may contribute to the stability of berries at later stages of development. \( \alpha \)-Tocopherol is the most potent tocopherol in quenching singlet oxygen (Zadernowski et al., 2003). Moreover, Falk et al. (2002) suggested that oxidative stress may induce increasing of the tocopherol levels in the plant.
4.3 Quantification of vitamin C

As mentioned in the literature review, one of the most important features in rosehips is the high content of vitamin C (Erenturk et al., 2005). Generally, rosehips are considered to be the most abundant natural source of vitamin C, the contents ranged between 200 and 2800 mg/100 g (Uggla et al., 2003). The preliminary analysis of AA in rugosa hips at different ripening times revealed that the contents ranged between 798 mg/100 g and 1090 mg/100 g. It is encouraging to compare these findings with that found by Ercisli, (2007) who showed that contents of AA in the fresh fruits of rose species were between 706 mg/100 g and 974 mg/100 g.

According to the results, the amounts of AA varied greatly at three maturity stages of rugosa hips with a significant difference (p < 0.05). The highest contents of vitamin C were achieved at the half-ripe stage (orange colour) with 14% of increasing, whereas these contents decreased in the fully-ripe hips (red colour) by 16%. The present findings seem to be consistent with Zhang et al. (2006) who found that the AA contents increased at the beginning and middle of fruit growth and decreased after the development of fruits colour. Rousi & Aulin (1977) reported a decreasing trend in vitamin C contents, accompanied by a steady increase in the fresh weight of the berries. On the other hand, the decrease in vitamin C contents in plants may also be the result of the environmental oxygen level, the amount of light reaching the plants, variations in endogenous plant growth regulators and the temperature (Dogan & Kazankaya, 2006).

Further analysis between rosehips species showed that rugosa hips have high contents of vitamin C (798 ± 37 mg/100 g) compared to canina hips (423 ± 30 mg/100 g) (Table 1), where the vitamin C contents in canina puree as well as in canina powder were 245 ± 14 mg/100 g and 864 ± 37 mg/100 g, respectively with (P < 0.001). Several
factors affecting the vitamin C contents in fruits include genotype, preharvest cultural practices, climatic conditions, fruit maturity, harvesting procedures and postharvest management (Porat et al., 2004 & Marcilla et al., 2006) as well as handling and storage, type of container (Naggy, 1980). The climate and environmental conditions affect also the vitamin C contents, increasing the acidity in the citrus fruits leading to increased vitamin C levels. High nitrogen fertilizer rates can lower vitamin C levels in citrus fruits. Proper potassium levels are also needed for a good vitamin C level. Areas with cool nights produce citrus fruits with higher vitamin C levels. In contrast, hot tropical areas produce fruit with lower levels of vitamin C (Padayatty et al., 2003).

AA is synthesized from carbohydrates precursors. Therefore, a lower concentration of sugars in shaded fruit inside the canopy could contribute to lower levels of AA compared to sun-exposed outside fruit (Valpuesta & Botella 2004 & Zhan et al., 2013). On the other hand, Magwaza et al. (2013) reported a positive correlation between AA and sucrose and glucose, confirming the role of these sugars, especially glucose, as a primary substrate for AA synthesis pathway. Similarly, as mentioned above, vitamin C contents in different fruits are known to be modulated during fruit development. In tissues of citrus fruit, AA content and concentration is uneven, varying with the stage of fruit development. In fruit such as oranges, tangerines and grapefruits vitamin C contents decreased with maturity, indicating that early harvest or mid-harvest fruit have more AA than late-season fruit (Yang et al., 2011).

### 4.4 Total phenolic compounds and antioxidants capacity

Among the phytochemical compounds, phenolic compounds and flavonols are regarded as major functional food components. The antioxidant capacity of phenolic compounds is mainly due to their redox properties, which allow them to act as reducing
agents, hydrogen donors, singlet oxygen quenchers (Balasundram et al., 2006).

Statistical analysis revealed significant differences in the TP contents (P < 0.0001) between rosehips species, products and at different maturity stages of rugosa hips. Interestingly, there was a positive correlation between TP and TEAC, where significant differences (P < 0.001) have been found in the TEAC activities in rosehips species, products and at the maturity stages of rugosa hips.

Table 1. Antioxidant capacity, total phenolic contents, and ascorbic acid in R. rugosa and R. canina as well as in canina products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Ascorbic acid [mg/100 g]</th>
<th>H-TEAC [mmol/100 g]</th>
<th>H-ORAC [mmol/100 g]</th>
<th>Total phenolic [mg/100 g]</th>
<th>L-TEAC [mmol/100 g]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R. rugosa</td>
<td>798 ± 37c</td>
<td>15 ± 1a</td>
<td>23 ± 0.3a</td>
<td>1327 ± 43a</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. C. R</td>
<td>423 ± 30b</td>
<td>25 ± 2b</td>
<td>26 ± 3.0a</td>
<td>2318 ± 89c</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. C. Pr.</td>
<td>864 ± 37d</td>
<td>73 ± 4c</td>
<td>93 ± 10b</td>
<td>5494 ± 41d</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. C. Pu</td>
<td>245 ± 14a</td>
<td>20 ± 2a</td>
<td>23 ± 3.0b</td>
<td>1829 ± 18b</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P &lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>P ≥ 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3). One-way ANOVA with Student-Newman-Keuls post-hoc test, different letters a/b/c/d within column indicate significant differences between samples (P < 0.05).

Canina raw hips and products showed a higher rate of TP as well as TEAC compared with rugosa hips. Likewise, the maturity stage affects, where the highest increases were achieved at the mature stage (red colour) with the rate of 21% for TP and 67% for TEAC. These results seem to be consistent with research done by Ilahy et al. (2011). TP accumulation in plants can be affected by genetic factors, environmental and cultural conditions and also various stresses (Parr & Bolwell 2009). These factors can explain the data differences reported within the scientific studies. In the same vein, a decrease in ORAC values has been observed as the ripeness increased. These results
may be explained by the fact that Folin-Ciocalteu and the radical scavenging method ABTS share the same reaction mechanism (electron transfer), whereas the ORAC method is based on hydrogen atom transfer reactions. The present findings seem to be consistent with Rodríguez et al. (2016) who found the antioxidants capacity measured by ORAC significantly decreased as the palm fruit became ripe.

On the other hand, an increase in antioxidant capacity of lipophilic extracts was observed with statistical significance (P < 0.0001) during the ripening stages of rugosa hips. The increase was progressed during maturity with (38%) in orange colour and with (450%) at full maturity (red colour). This finding confirms our previous results of accumulation of carotenoids during ripening.

4.5 In vitro bioaccessibility of lipophilic micronutrients

Bioavailability of carotenoids is associated with several influential factors, including the carotenoids properties, food matrix and food processing, ingested fat as well as physiological variations connected to digestion (Kotake-Nara & Nagao 2012). Therefore, knowledge about the influence of these factors on in vitro accessibility of carotenoids is fundamental, when evaluating the bioavailability potential of carotenoids from rosehip matrices based on in vitro results. Although rosehips have more recently attracted attention because of their potential health benefits, no study has investigated the bioaccessibility of carotenoids and vitamin E from rosehip raw materials as well as its products. In this context, the static in vitro release of carotenoids from food matrices and their subsequent incorporation into micelles is simulated by such models (Hedré et al., 2002; Reboul et al., 2006 & Werner & Böhm 2011). Mild heating in the initial phase, in addition to extending digestion times during the stomach phase and intestinal
phase, according to the standardized protocol of Minekus et al. (2014), were the main modifications in the new model.

4.5.1 Initial phase

Carotenoids are lipophilic micronutrients, located inside the chromoplast organelles in a specific substructure of crystalline, membranous or globular nature embedded in a cellular structure (Schweiggert et al., 2012). Crushing the raw materials helps to release carotenoids by reducing particle size and thus promotes bioaccessibility. Furthermore, amount and type of lipids seem to affect carotenoid bioavailability. In this study, 0.25 ± 0.05 mL of olive oil (main fatty acid: oleic acid (C18:1)) was added, followed by incubation for 1 h at 40 °C with shaking at 250 rpm.

There are similarities between the attitudes expressed by Huo et al. (2007) and Salvia-Trujillo et al. (2013) who showed an increase in the bioaccessibility of lycopene and β-carotene with increasing fatty acyl chain length (C18:1 > C8:0 > C4:0) upon addition of oil to a salad meal. This was attributed to the greater solubilization capacity of mixed micelles containing long-chain fatty acids. Additionally, thermal processing with 40 °C facilitated the transfer of carotenoids from the cells to the olive oil droplets through weakened plant wall structure. Hedrén et al. (2002) reported that thermal treatments promoted the disruption of the food matrix, leading to an increase in β-carotene bioaccessibility in carrot pieces.

4.5.2 Carotenoids contents

Although the contents of carotenoids and vitamin E in rosehip products as well as tomato paste were determined before in a simulated digestion model, this may not necessarily reflect their bioaccessibility. Undoubtedly, the untreated sample was used
to determine the stability of carotenoids during simulated digestion. It was calculated by the contents of substances in the digesta (solid residue + supernatant) in relation to contents in the undigested food. The undigested samples were extracted in the same way as supernatants and residues. Overall, the results of carotenoids and vitamin E were almost comparable to (Al-Yafeai et al., 2018 & Andersson et al., 2011), (\textit{all-E})- and (Z)-lycopene varied between (8 ± 2 mg/100 g to 5 ± 1 mg/100 g) and (8 ± 1 mg/100 g) in rosehip products respectively. In contrast, the concentrations of (\textit{all-E})-lycopene and (Z)-lycopene in tomato paste were 28 ± 3 mg/100 g and 10 ± 12 mg/100 g respectively.

\subsection*{4.5.3 Vitamin E contents}

As previously reported, α- and γ-tocopherol were the main tocopherol isomers in \textit{R. canina} rosehip raw materials and products, whereas in \textit{R. rugosa}, only α-tocopherol was determined. The total concentration of vitamin E varied between 32 ± 3 μmol/100 g and 4 ± 0.1 μmol/100 g. On the other hand, vitamin E analysis in tomato paste revealed α- and γ-tocopherol but no tocotrienols and total concentration of vitamin E was 9 ± 1 μmol/100 g.

\subsection*{4.5.4 Pectin contents}

Determination of pectin contents may help in explaining the results. In the current analysis, raw hips as well as products already had high contents of pectin. In this context, \textit{R. canina} raw hips contained 2.0 ± 0.01 g GA/100 g compared with \textit{R. rugosa} raw hips with 1.7 ± 0.01 g GA/100 g. The difference in pectin contents depends on the ecotype as well as on ripening time. A high content of pectin in canina products was comparable to Yildiz, & Alpaslan (2012). In contrast, tomato paste had a lower content.
of pectin 0.4 ± 0.0 g GA/100 g. Pectin contents in samples were reduced significantly (P < 0.05) after being treated with the enzyme mixture Fructozym P6-XL.

Moreover, the results also showed incomplete degradation of pectin in samples after treatment with Fructozym P6-XL, perhaps because fruit cell walls are often pectin-enriched. Grassin & Fauquembergue (1996) reported that residual pectins and hemicelluloses in strawberries and raspberries bind to phenolic substances and proteins during processing and storage, resulting in irreversible complexes that enzymes cannot cleave. On the other hand, different relative degradation rates in the treated samples were observed. A possible explanation for this might be the type of carbon source and structure of pectin substrates, influencing the enzyme activity profile. PL prefers to hydrolyze high-esterified pectin. In contrast, PG is a pectin-depolymerizing enzyme, cleaving α-(1→4)-glycosidic linkages in polygalacturonic acid by trans-elimination, exo-PG and endo-PG more often cleave low-esterified pectin. Furthermore, PME catalyzes de-esterification of methoxyl groups of pectin forming pectic acid. The enzyme acts preferentially on a methyl ester group of a galacturonate unit next to a nonesterified galacturonate unit.

4.5.5 Pectin and Bioaccessibility

Although soluble fiber consumption has widely recognized health benefits, the impact of pectin and other dietary fibers on the bioavailability and metabolism of other nutrients, including lipids, is still under investigation. Some in vitro studies showed for pectin a potential to impact lipid digestion processes (Zhang et al., 2015) which can affect the carotenoid’s bioaccessibility. Following the addition of the enzyme mixture Fructozym P6-XL, the bioaccessibility of carotenoids from rosehips significantly increased. The average relative increase was lower for ((all-E))-β-carotene (+21%)
compared with \([(all-E)]-\text{lycopene} \,(+50\%)\) and \((all-E)-\text{rubixanthin} \,(+48\%)\). In contrast, in tomato paste, a strong evidence of bioaccessibility of individual carotenoids was found after treatment with Fructozym P6-XL \((P < 0.001)\). Likewise, adding pectin to tomato paste caused significant decrease of the bioaccessibility of \((all-E)-\text{lycopene} \,(-68\%)\), \((Z)-\text{lycopene} \,(-60\%)\) and \((all-E)-\beta\text{-carotene} \,(-49\%)\), these results match those observed in earlier studies \((\text{Cervantes-Paz et al., 2016})\).

Pectin can affect bioaccessibility through two mechanisms, the passive absorption in the small intestine may be blocked as a result of pectin action on the lipids and bile salt molecules, thereby avoiding micelle formation with carotenoids. Furthermore, pectin increases the viscosity of the intestinal content. Thus, absorption of antioxidants was reduced perhaps due to lower activity of enzymes and increased difficulty in contacting intestinal enterocyte \((\text{Xu et al., 2015})\). The effects of pectin on bioaccessibility and bioavailability depend on different factors; such as pectin degree of methylation \((\text{DM})\), distribution of nonmethylesterified galacturonic acid residues, molar mass, linear charge density and hydrophobicity. \text{Kyomugasho et al.} \,(2015) showed that in regions containing pectin with a higher DM, cell adhesion is probably weaker, and thus, tissues are more easily disintegrated into smaller particles. On the other hand, pectin with a lower DM is more strongly bound in cell walls, and thus, regions rich in low DM pectin are not easily disrupted resulting in larger particles. In general, high-DM pectin increases the lipolysis, bile salt binding, and micellarization of polar carotenoids \((\text{Cervantes-Paz et al., 2016})\). However, low-DM pectin can reduce the levels of calcium involved in the lipolysis and generation of lipid digestion products for micelle formation \((\text{Kyomugasho et al., 2015})\).
4.5.6 Effects of food processing on bioaccessibility of carotenoids

Food matrix structure is one of the most important factors that affect the bioaccessibility of carotenoids. The most interesting finding was that there were differences in the efficiency of carotenoids micellarization in the two rosehip species investigated. The bioaccessibility of total lycopene in rugosa hips was higher than that in canina hips. These findings are supported by Stinco et al. (2012). As mentioned above, pectin food matrix-related factors may hinder carotenoids bioaccessibility. *R.* *canina* hips had higher pectin contents compared with *R.* *rugosa* hips, this could be a reason for the relatively low carotenoids bioaccessibilities of the canina hips.

In addition, samples also include three different products of *R.* *canina* (powder, jam and puree). Results for (all-*E*) and (Z)-lycopene were highly variable in different products, whereas the accessibilities of (all-*E*)-β-carotene and (all-*E*)-rubixanthin were almost comparable. The order of lycopene bioaccessibilities was determined to be R.C.Pr > R.C.Ja ≥ R.C.Pu. A possible explanation for this might be related to food processing. Food processing with breaking of the natural matrix may lead to higher bioavailability (Parada & Aguilera 2007). Consequently, the effect of particle size reduction is expected to arise from disintegration of the food matrix and reduction in the potential plant cell wall barrier toward digestion (Bohn *et al.*, 2015). Accordingly, the solubility is enhanced by increasing the surface area for dissolution, thus improving activity of the enzymes and permeation of bile salts.

The positive effect of food processing on carotenoid bioaccessibility is in accordance with *in vivo* studies on carotenoid bioavailability (Van Het Hof *et al.*, 2000) and confirms that eating processed vegetables improves carotenoid bioavailability. Interestingly, further comparison between the bioaccessibilities of individual
carotenoids in rosehip and tomato paste showed that tomato paste had a higher carotenoids bioaccessibility. The present findings seem to be consistent with the results of an in vivo study (Unpublished data) (Brose, 2010).

Furthermore, Schweiggert & Carle (2017) showed tubular or globular-tubular chromoplasts for hips of R. rugosa, partially containing lipid-dissolved but mostly liquid-crystalline carotenoids. Consequently, the globular-tubular deposition form might be more favorable than the protein-complexed and solid-crystalline forms, respectively. In contrast, crystalloid chromoplasts were previously described in red tomato (Schweiggert et al., 2011). The low bioaccessibility was affected by the deposition of carotenoids in protein pigment complexes and crystalline aggregates, respectively (Schweiggert et al., 2014). On the other hand, Zhou et al. (1996) have previously reported that the crystalline state of carotenoids was associated with their poor bioavailability. These two reasons as well as high pectin contents in rosehips may explain the relatively low bioaccessibility in rosehips compared to tomato paste. According to Cooperstone et al. (2015) lycopene was markedly more bioavailable from tangerine than from red tomato juice, consistent with a predominance of (Z)-lycopene isomers and presence in chromoplasts in a lipid dissolved globular state. These reasons in addition to the profile structure of cis-isomers could be a possible explanation for the more efficient micellarization of cis-isomers compared to trans-isomers.

### 4.5.7 Effect of carotenoid properties

Regardless of the sample type or the treatment, the carotenoids showed different bioaccessibility. Overall, the bioaccessibility decreased in the following order: (all-E)-rubixanthin \( \geq \) (all-E)-\( \beta \)-carotene \( > \) (Z)-lycopene \( > \) (all-E)-lycopene. Carotenoid hydrophobicity and transfer efficiency were inversely related. Xanthophylls are more
Discussion

efficiently transferred into micelles and thus show a higher bioaccessibility than the hydrocarbons β-carotene or lycopene (Schweiggert et al., 2012). In agreement with this hypothesis, the micellarization of rubixanthin increased after treatment with Fructozym P6-XL in all rosehip samples. However, an unexpected result was observed in the present study, the bioaccessibility of (all-E)-β-carotene was greater than that of (all-E)-rubixanthin in all untreated rosehip samples. A possible explanation for these results: the hydrophobicity is not the only factor affecting the carotenoids bioaccessibility. In the same vein, Sólyom et al. (2014) showed the following order of bioaccessibilities: β-carotene > γ-carotene > lycopene ≈ β-cryptoxanthin > rubixanthin after delivery of carotenoids to an in vitro digestion model.

Furthermore, (all-E)-lycopene had a significantly lower bioaccessibility compared to (all-E)-β-carotene in all samples with different treatments. These findings seem to be consistent with other research (Zhou et al., 1996). Lycopene is more lipophilic than β-carotene because of its acyclic structure without a β-ionone ring. On the other hand, the interactions between carotenoids have been demonstrated. During micellar formation, the competition between lycopene and β-carotene has been recorded (Fernández-García et al., 2007). The favored β-carotene transfer into the micelles could also hinder lycopene incorporation. Further analysis showed that the efficiency of micellarization of (Z)-lycopene was significantly greater than that of (all-E)-lycopene. These results match those observed in earlier studies by Ferruzzi et al., (2006) who found that the profile structures of cis-isomers were micellarized more efficiently than trans-isomers. The (Z)-lycopene isomers are less likely to crystallize, more oil/hydrocarbon soluble, compared to (all-E)-lycopene. During a simulated in vitro digestion model, the recovery rates of carotenoids were determined, the rates of
stabilities were >70% with average 80%. Oil droplets were removed from the aqueous fraction by filtration, resulting thus in a loss in carotenoids in supernatant and residue.

4.5.8 Vitamin E Bioaccessibility

Vitamin E bioaccessibility significantly increased after treatment with Fructozym; there are similarities between these findings and earlier observations with carotenoids. The stability of vitamin E during simulated digestion was >78% and averaged 82%. In agreement with Reboul et al. (2006), the bioaccessibility of tocopherols from different foodstuffs was highly variable. As mentioned above, the bioaccessibility of nutrients depend on the physical properties of the food matrix.

Dietary tocopherols are mostly present in the free forms (except in fortified food). Dietary carotenoids may be present as protein-bound, ester and free forms. This may explain the higher bioaccessibility of vitamin E compared with lycopene. These results match those observed in earlier studies (Reboul et al., 2006). Although the study has successfully gone some way toward enhancing our understanding of correlations between the pectin contents, food processing and bioaccessibility, it has raised some new questions in need of further investigation. Our results confirm that pectin has a major effect on the bioaccessibility, significantly increasing the bioaccessibilities of carotenoids and vitamin E after pectin hydrolysis by using Fructozym P6-XL.
5 Summary

5.1 Background

The hips of Rosa species have received more attention in recent years due to their high contents of antioxidants. The genus Rosa contains over 100 species, which are widespread and different in their appearance as well as in the chemical composition. Indeed, the maturity stage affects bioactive compounds and antioxidant capacity in rosehips. On the other hand, the limited bioavailability of antioxidants present in food from fruit and vegetable matrices is determined by their low bioaccessibility due to the physical and chemical interactions of the antioxidants with the indigestible polysaccharides of cell walls.

5.2 Objectives

Identification and quantification of carotenoids, vitamin E and vitamin C as well as investigation of biological activities in both rugosa and canina hips. A second goal was to study the factors that influence the bioaccessibilities of carotenoids and vitamin E in rosehips and tomato paste.

5.3 Methods

Carotenoids and vitamin E were extracted in both rosehips species, the identifications were performed by comparison with external standards or were tentatively identified by comparison of retention times and DAD absorbance spectra as well as mass spectra. The quantification was carried out by using 5-point calibration curves of external standards. Antioxidant capacity and vitamin C were determined by using spectrophotometric techniques using the methods having been described in the related manuscript. For the second objective, the static in vitro digestion model was designed.
to study the effect of pectin on bioaccessibility. The experiment was conducted in three groups depending on the pectin contents: (i) control sample, (ii) sample treated with Fructozym P6-XL, (iii) sample with added pectin. The simulation of the digestive process involves a three-step procedure simulating mouth/stomach/small intestine, with conditions generally based on physiological conditions in vivo.

5.4 Results

Study 1:
The HPLC analysis showed that carotenoid contents varied between rosehips species as well as at different stages of maturity of rugosa hips, statistical analysis revealed that rugosa hips had higher contents of carotenoids compared with raw canina hips with significant differences (P < 0.05). On the other hand, maturity stage affects the bioactive compounds in rugosa hips, the maximum concentration was observed at late harvesting. The high concentration of lycopene appeared to be a characteristic of the Rosa species, the ripening process of hips is associated with increase in (all-E)-lycopene content with significant difference (P < 0.001). In contrast, the maximum concentration of (Z)-lycopene was achieved in the green hips with 40% of the whole contents of carotenoids. HPLC analysis of vitamin E showed that α- and γ-tocopherol were the main isomers in canina hips, while rugosa hips had only α-tocopherol. Statistical analysis showed a significant difference in vitamin E content (P < 0.001) between different hip products with the maximum concentration of each vitamin E isomer in the orange hips with significant difference (P < 0.05). In the same way, rosehips are considered to be the most abundant natural source of vitamin C, rugosa hips had a higher concentration of vitamin C compared with canina raw hips with significant difference (P < 0.0001). Likewise, depending on the maturity stage of rugosa hips, the maximum concentration
was achieved in the orange hips with significant difference (P < 0.05). The highest hydrophilic and lipophilic TEAC values were determined in the mature hips (red colour) with significant difference (P < 0.0001) as well as total phenolics contents with (P < 0.001), whereas ORAC showed lower activity in the mature hips with significant difference (P < 0.05).

Study 2:

The second major finding was that studying the bioaccessibility, following the addition of the enzyme mixture Fructozym P6-XL, the bioaccessibility of carotenoids from rosehips as well as from tomato paste significantly increased (P < 0.05). The average relative increase in bioaccessibility from rosehips was lower for (all-E)-β-carotene compared with (all-E)-lycopene and (all-E)-rubixanthin. In contrast, increases of bioaccessibility of α-tocopherol were comparable for rosehip samples and tomato paste.

5.5 Conclusion

This research extends our knowledge of rosehip, confirms previous findings and contributes additional evidence that suggests rosehip as a good source of carotenoids, vitamin E and vitamin C. The variations in contents of these bioactive compounds have been influenced by ecotype, growing conditions and the degree of the maturity, and in the products were affected by the type of processing. Higher contents of carotenoids and vitamin C were observed in rugosa hips compared with canina raw hips. On the other hand, this study has gone some way towards enhancing our understanding of the factors that affect the bioaccessibilities of carotenoids and vitamin E.
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